

E = Executive Committee Member  
 R = Regional Representative  
 M = Dues Paying Member

**NCALHD ATTENDANCE ROSTER**  
**NOVEMBER 16, 2000**

**Initial County/Health Director**

TD Alamance/Tim Green  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Alexander/Shelley Carraway  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Anson/James Roosen  
AS Appalachain Dist/Danny Staley  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Beaufort/Roxanne Frederick  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Bertie/Ron Freeman  
MD Bladen/Myra Johnson (M)  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Brunswick/Don Yousey  
GB Buncombe/George Bond (R)  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Burke/David L. Rust  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Cabarrus/Dr. William Pilkington  
DU Caldwell/Douglas W. Urland  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Carteret/Dr. J.T. Garrett  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Caswell/Vacant  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Catawba/Barry Blick  
MS Chatham/Wayne Sherman (M)  
JS Cherokee/Elaine Russell  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Clay/Janice Patterson  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Cleveland/Denese Stallings  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Columbus/Marian Duncan  
WD Craven/Wanda Sandele  
QMA Cumberland/Janet Lindbloom  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Currituck/John B. Sledge  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Dare/Anne B. Thomas  
DC Davidson/Diane Crouse  
DBS Davie/Barry Bass  
SL Duplin/Dr. Scott Harrelson (M)  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Durham/Brian Letourneau  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Edgecombe/James Baluss  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Forsyth/Sherman Kahn, MD (M)  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Franklin/Keith Patton  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Gaston/Bruce Parsons (E, R)  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Graham/Marlene Orr  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Granville-Vance Dist/Rodwell Drake, MD  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Greene/Douglas Harr, PhD  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Guilford/Harold Gabel, MD (R)  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Halifax/Chris Szwagiel, PhD  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Harnett/Wayne Raynor  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Haywood/Robert Wood  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Henderson/Tom Bridges  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Hertford-Gates Dist/Curtis Dickson  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Hoke/Donald Womble  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Hyde/Linda Mayo  
ML Iredell/Raymond Rabe  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Jackson/Randall Turpin  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Johnston/L.S. Woodall, MD  
RL Jones/Ruth Little  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Lee/Mike Hanes

**Initial County/Health Director**

\_\_\_\_\_ Lenoir/Joey Huff  
MD Lincoln/Margaret Dollar  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Macon/Ken Ring  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Madison/Vacant  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Martin-Tyrrell-Wash Dist/Diana Bradshaw  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Mecklenburg/Peter Safir  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Montgomery/Kathleen DeVore-Jones  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Moore/Robert Wittman  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Nash/William Hill, Jr.  
LD New Hanover/David Rice  
SPD Northhampton/Sue Gay, Acting  
RES Onslow/George O'Daniel  
EL Orange/Dr. Rosemary Summers (M)  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Pamlico/Jenny Lassiter  
 \_\_\_\_\_ PPCC Dist/Howard Campbell  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Pender/Jack Griffin, PhD  
MPK Person/Marc Kolman  
JH Pitt/John Morrow, MD (R)  
MMC Randolph/Mimi Cooper (M)  
TJ Richmond/Tommy Jarrell (M, E)  
WS Robeson/Bill Smith  
MS Rockingham/Glenn Martin  
MS Rowan/Leonard Wood  
MS Rutherford-Polk-McDowell Dist/Joyce Sluder  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Sampson/John Rouse  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Scotland/Curtis Holloman  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Stanly/Jim Jones  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Stokes/Colleen Bridger  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Surry/Walter Linz, MD  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Swain/Emma Waldroup  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Toe River Dist/Tommy Singleton, Acting  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Transylvania/Terry Pierce  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Union/Lorey White, Jr.  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Wake/Gibbie Harris  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Wayne/Kevin Watkins, MD (M)  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Warren/Mildred Battle, Acting  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Wilkes/Beth Lovette  
LL Wilson/Dr. Louis Latour  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Yadkin/Gayle Brown (M)  
SW Susan Smith-Wharton, NCALHD Exec. Dir.

NCALHD ATTENDANCE ROSTER  
NOVEMBER 16, 2000

OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE

Carmine Penco	ANCBH
Dennis Harrington	DHHS - DPH - Local Health Services
Rochel Stevens	UNC - SAH

Treasurer's Report  
November 14, 2000

	Checking	Savings	Money Market	CD
<b>Account Balance Brought Forward</b>	\$ 24,402.16	\$ 34.34	\$ 331.07	\$ 40,000.00
<b>Receipts:</b>				
Interest Payments:	\$	\$	\$	
<b>Federal Back-up Withholding:</b>	\$	\$		
<b>Maintenance/Service Fee:</b>	\$			
<b>Deposits:</b>				
Transfer from Checking				
Transfer from Money Market				
Transfer to Checking (interest From Certificate):	\$			
	\$			
Health Directors' Spring Conf.	\$ 5,561.80			
<b>Total</b>	\$ 29,963.96	\$ 34.34	\$ 331.07	\$ 40,000
<b>Total Expenses</b>				
0841 Inst. Of Government Orientation-New Health Directors Open Meeting Books	\$ 130.00			
0842 Inst. Of Government Taxes on Opening Meeting	\$ 7.80			
0843 NC Hospital Association October & Nov. rent	\$ 830.00			
0844 NC Hospital Assoc. Telephone, copying, fax. Etc.	\$ 309.96			
0845 NC Alliance PH Assoc. July salary-Susan S. Wharton	\$ 2,691.25			
0846 NC Alliance PH Assoc. August salary-Susan S. Wharton	\$ 2,691.25			
0847 NC Alliance PH Assoc. Sept. salary-Susan S. Wharton	\$ 2,691.25			
0848 NC Alliance PH Assoc. October salary-Susan S. Wharton	\$ 2,691.25			
<b>Total Expenses</b>	\$ 12,042.76			
<b>Account Balance as of 11/14/00</b>	\$ 17,921.20	\$ 34.34	\$ 331.07	\$ 40,000.00

### NCALHD COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP - 2001 SIGN-UP SHEET

**Educational Awards:**

Chair: Barry Blick

Harold Gabel

Keith Patton

Glenn Martin

Anne Thomas

Rosemary Summers

George O'Daniel

Gayle Brown

**Policy & Planning:**

Chair: Wayne Raynor

Jim Baluss

Bill Smith

Don Yousey

Chris Szwagiel

George O' Daniel

Wanda Sandek

Janet Zirkbloom

**State & Local Relations:**

Chair: Jim Baluss

Barry Bass

Louis Latour

John Morrow

**Women's & Children's Health:**

Chair: Wanda Sandek

Gayle Brown

Danny Staley

Myra Johnston

John Rouse

Bill Smith

Wayne Sherman

Elaine Russell

Louis Latour

Arlene Crouse

**Environmental:**

Chair: Terry Pierce

Scott Harrelson

Gayle Brown

Maggie Dollar

Diane Crouse

**Reimbursement & Finance:**

Chair: Bill Smith

Scott Harrelson

Doug Urland

Roxanne Frederick

Jim Roosen

Chris Szwagiel

**Chronic Disease/Dental:**

Chair: Rosemary Summers

Ron Freeman

John Rouse

Elaine Russell

Mark Kolman

**Epidemiology:**

Chair: John Morrow

Danny Staley

Maggie Dollar

Diane Crouse

**Nomination & By-Laws:**

Chair: Tom Bridges

Maggie Dollar

**Technology:**

Chair: Ray Rabe

Roxanne Frederick

Mark Kolman

Jim Roosen

Janet Zirkbloom

Chris Szwagiel

Leann Wood

## Legal Issues Associated with Treating Adolescents

Jill D. Moore, M.P.H., J.D.

Assistant Professor of Public Law & Government

UNC Institute of Government

919-966-4442

moore@iogmail.iog.unc.edu

*Note: This outline reflects the law of the state of North Carolina. While the same legal issues arise everywhere and are often handled similarly by different jurisdictions, the specifics of the law can vary considerably from place to place.*

### I. Consent to Treatment

A. General rule of parental consent: In most circumstances, a child under the age of 18 may not be treated without the consent of the child's parent, legal guardian, or a person acting *in loco parentis* (in the place of the parent).

1. A person acting *in loco parentis* is someone who has informally taken on the rights and duties of a parent, including the duty to support the child.

### B. Exceptions

1. A parent or legal guardian may authorize another person to consent to the child's care during a period in which the parent or guardian is unavailable. The authorization must be in writing.
2. A child may be treated without the consent of the parent, legal guardian, or person acting *in loco parentis* under the following emergency circumstances:
  - a. the parent or other authorized person cannot be located or contacted with reasonable diligence during the time within which the child needs the treatment
  - b. the child's identity is unknown
  - c. the need for immediate treatment is so apparent that any effort to secure approval would delay the treatment so long as to endanger the child's life
  - d. an effort to contact the parent or other authorized person would result in a delay that would seriously worsen the child's physical condition
  - e. the parent refuses to consent, and the need for immediate treatment is so apparent that the delay required to obtain a court order would endanger the child's life or seriously worsen the child's physical condition, and two licensed physicians agree that the treatment is necessary to prevent immediate harm to the child

*Special requirement for surgery:* Before performing surgery under any of the above exceptions, two surgeons must agree that the surgery is necessary. (There is an exception for rural communities or other areas in which it is impossible to get the opinion of a second surgeon in a timely manner.)

3. Emancipated minors may consent to their own treatment. An emancipated minor is a minor who is married or who has been granted an order of emancipation by a court.

4. An unemancipated minor may give effective consent for his or her own treatment for the prevention, diagnosis, or treatment of the following conditions:
  - a. reportable communicable diseases
  - b. pregnancy
  - c. abuse of controlled substances or alcohol
  - d. emotional disturbance

*Exceptions:* The minor may not consent to sterilization, abortion, or admission to a 24-hour mental health or substance abuse facility (except that the minor may consent to admission to such a facility in an emergency).

C. Consent for abortion

1. General rule: Unemancipated minors may not consent to their own abortions. Before performing an abortion upon an unemancipated minor, the physician must obtain the *written* consent of the minor herself *and* one of the following people:
  - a. a parent with custody of the minor, or
  - b. the minor's legal guardian or legal custodian, or
  - c. a parent with whom the minor is living, or
  - d. a grandparent with whom the minor has been living for at least 6 months.
2. Exceptions:
  - a. The minor may petition the district court for a waiver of the parental consent requirement.
  - b. The physician may perform the abortion without parental consent when, in the physician's best medical judgment, a medical emergency exists that requires an immediate abortion.

II. Confidentiality

A. General rule: Medical information should be kept confidential, and the person who consents to the treatment has the right to control the release of information about that treatment.

B. Exceptions

1. The law sometimes requires that medical information be released to other parties. Two such requirements may be particularly relevant to the treatment of adolescents:
  - a. Any person who has reason to believe a child is abused or neglected must make a report to the department of social services.
  - b. The diagnosis of certain communicable diseases must be reported to the health department.
2. Information about treatment that was provided to a minor upon his or her own consent may be released to the minor's parent or legal guardian in two circumstances:
  - a. If release of the information is essential to protect the life or health of the minor, the physician *should* release the information.
  - b. If the parent or legal guardian contacts the physician and asks about the treatment or about medical services being provided to the minor, the physician *may* release the information.

6

**Performance Evaluation**  
(based on fy 1999/2000 data through October 16, 2000)

<u>Base Table</u>	<u>Number of Counties</u>
< 60% Screened	19
60 – 69.5% Screened	11
70 – 79.5% Screened	15
80 – 89.5% Screened	8
90 – 99.5% Screened	9
>100% Screened	25
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>87</b>

**I. Comparison of Screening Performance and Population Penetration**

Criteria for evaluation:

Higher Target (HT) = 70% or greater of the total average target screened in the last two fiscal years.

Higher Penetration (HP) = Met or exceeded the overall two-year average percentage of the population of eligible women screened (3.74%).

Lower Target (LT) = Less than 70% of the total average target screened in the last two fiscal years.

Lower Penetration (LP) = Less than the overall two-year average percentage of the population of eligible women screened (3.74%).

Number of Local Health Departments in Each Category:

<u>HT/HP</u> 39	<u>HT/LP</u> 18
<u>LT/HP</u> 4	<u>LT/LP</u> 26

**II. Performance Adjustments**

Local Health Departments in Category LT/LP will have their screening funds reduced by \_\_\_\_\_ percent for fiscal year 2000/2001. A portion of those funds will be awarded to other screening providers in those counties through the RFA process.

County Performance Review  
(Fiscal Year 1999/00)

	%Target	%Target	Average%	Current		
	Screened	Screened	Target	Target	Current	Average%
County/District	99/00	98/99	Screened	Number	Funds	Penetration
Alexander	15	23	19	80	\$ 18,135	1.62%
Orange	27	32	29.5	181	\$ 23,364	1.35
Hamett	29	31	30	152	\$ 24,338	1.36
Durham	28	36	32	443	\$ 63,644	2.01
Rowan	31	33	32	183	\$ 25,238	1.47
Stanly	35	31	33	94	\$ 18,801	2.02
Burke	16	59	37.5	131	\$ 21,401	1.16
Wilkes	34	44	39	135	\$ 35,605	1.83
Lenoir	17	64	40.5	105	\$ 18,797	1.09
Mecklenburg	35	55	45	966	\$ 92,152	1.94
Wilson	43	48	45.5	111	\$ 17,297	1.99
Guilford	44	47	45.5	667	\$ 67,023	3.38
Johnston	43	54	48.5	314	\$ 57,203	2.82
Scotland	45	60	52.5	235	\$ 19,942	6.39
Forsyth	55	52	53.5	460	\$ 48,468	3.47
New Hanover CHC	61	46	53.5	304	\$ 50,871	2.79
Catawba	54	56	55	218	\$ 26,440	1.97
Anson	45	71	58	42	\$ 14,454	2.25
Cabarrus	71	46	58.5	394	\$ 62,093	5.47
Union	56	65	60.5	142	\$ 22,252	2.85
Cumberland	49	76	62.5	438	\$ 47,775	3.36
Davie	51	75	63	47	\$ 12,340	3.16
Lincoln	79	48	63.5	91	\$ 16,759	3.45
Iredell	63	66	64.5	172	\$ 25,377	2.96
Gaston	41	91	66	319	\$ 37,760	3.73
Wake	47	86	66.5	803	\$ 78,459	3.61
Caldwell	71	65	68	116	\$ 17,641	3.13
Sampson	68	69	68.5	80	\$ 17,117	2.25
Davidson	69	68	68.5	231	\$ 30,387	2.83
Albemarle Regional	62	76	69	274	\$ 73,109	4.73
Cleveland	64	76	70	228	\$ 40,317	5.55
Chatham	62	79	70.5	122	\$ 24,645	4.62
Randolph	66	78	72	196	\$ 26,014	3.89
Duplin	82	63	72.5	71	\$ 16,869	2.51
Greene	60	87	73.5	30	\$ 13,370	1.97
Onslow	74	74	74	197	\$ 26,156	3.96
Person	80	70	75	131	\$ 30,995	8.3
MTW District	67	85	76	300	\$ 72,557	9.28
Lee	84	68	76	74	\$ 16,327	3.16
Buncombe	82	73	77.5	318	\$ 37,170	4.06
Pitt	89	66	77.5	196	\$ 26,808	2.48
Alamance	68	88	78	207	\$ 28,357	3.27
Columbus	77	80	78.5	214	\$ 53,726	6.22
Pender	70	88	79	95	\$ 20,725	4.44
Surry	81	78	79.5	116	\$ 19,643	3.79

(8)

County Performance Review  
(Fiscal Year 1999/00)

	%Target	%Target	Average%	Current		
	Screened	Screened	Target	Target	Current	Average%
County/District	99/00	98/99	Screened	Number	Funds	Penetration
Henderson	77	83	80	137	\$ 22,425	3.40%
Yadkin	72	91	81.5	57	\$ 15,693	2.8
Montgomery	93	70	81.5	40	\$ 13,551	2.43
Franklin	81	86	83.5	69	\$ 16,700	1.88
New Hanover	88	79	83.5	161	\$ 24,265	2.72
RPM District	87	82	84.5	269	\$ 57,798	3.83
Caswell	73	97	85	37	\$ 14,052	2.85
Toe River District	83	89	86	150	\$ 45,110	5.14
Madison	100	82	91	50	\$ 17,128	2.51
Robeson	82	104	93	181	\$ 26,184	3.03
Nash	101	85	93	143	\$ 22,950	3
Stokes	82	108	95	65	\$ 15,811	2.34
Rockingham	96	95	95.5	161	\$ 23,204	4.33
Halifax	90	105	97.5	99	\$ 19,240	3.76
Granville/Vance	81	115	98	164	\$ 38,648	4.28
Cherokee	102	94	98	88	\$ 26,961	7.12
Graham	90	108	99	67	\$ 14,460	14.21
Edgecombe	91	116	103.5	104	\$ 19,682	3.1
Carteret	99	110	104.5	124	\$ 25,506	5.27
Richmond	101	117	109	79	\$ 17,597	4.25
Jackson	102	117	109.5	107	\$ 57,092	5.91
Jones	150	70	110	30	\$ 13,370	5.23
Transylvania	104	119	111.5	48	\$ 14,974	4.54
Wayne	117	111	114	180	\$ 26,050	3.98
Swain	117	114	115.5	81	\$ 17,481	12.4
Clay	87	145	116	60	\$ 24,124	12.67
Haywood	107	129	118	120	\$ 23,726	4.96
Bladen	96	144	120	52	\$ 14,502	3.43
Bertie	137	103	120	62	\$ 19,000	6.99
Hoke	130	111	120.5	37	\$ 14,055	4.06
Craven	121	126	123.5	141	\$ 22,747	4.93
Moore	104	145	124.5	108	\$ 18,507	3.01
Warren	115	134	124.5	65	\$ 21,403	5.32
Beaufort	136	119	127.5	70	\$ 14,261	4.73
Appalachian District	152	148	150	145	\$ 44,842	4.89
Hyde	173	200	186.5	30	\$ 12,702	15.17
Macon	184	231	207.5	110	\$ 25,047	10.18
Dare	232	186	209	44	\$ 14,635	7.5
Brunswick	219	233	226	98	\$ 18,212	4.78
Northampton	238	262	250	37	\$ 14,035	8.62
Pamlico	260	277	268.5	30	\$ 12,701	9.5
Hertford/Gates	205	567	386	67	\$ 26,344	9.98

County Performance Review  
Population Penetration

County/District	Total Eligible 50-64	Screened 98/99	% of Eligible	Screened 99/00	% of Eligible	Average Percent
Lenoir	2251	38	1.69%	11	0.49%	1.09%
Burke	2240	32	1.43%	20	0.89%	1.16%
Orange	1964	27	1.37%	26	1.32%	1.35%
Harnett	2602	33	1.27%	38	1.46%	1.36%
Rowan	2964	46	1.55%	41	1.38%	1.47%
Alexander	804	15	1.87%	11	1.37%	1.62%
Wilkes	2215	44	1.99%	37	1.67%	1.83%
Franklin	1253	25	2.00%	22	1.76%	1.88%
Mecklenburg	9696	246	2.54%	130	1.34%	1.94%
Catawba	2639	56	2.12%	48	1.82%	1.97%
Greene	608	17	2.80%	7	1.15%	1.97%
Wilson	2206	45	2.04%	43	1.95%	1.99%
Durham	3379	73	2.16%	63	1.86%	2.01%
Stanly	1459	26	1.78%	33	2.26%	2.02%
Sampson	2092	47	2.25%	47	2.25%	2.25%
Anson	867	24	2.77%	15	1.73%	2.25%
Stokes	1070	24	2.24%	26	2.43%	2.34%
Montgomery	721	13	1.80%	22	3.05%	2.43%
Pitt	3246	72	2.22%	89	2.74%	2.48%
Duplin	1714	41	2.39%	45	2.63%	2.51%
Madison	1233	26	2.11%	36	2.92%	2.51%
New Hanover	3895	98	2.52%	114	2.93%	2.72%
New Hanover CHC	3895	95	2.44%	122	3.13%	2.79%
Yadkin	1019	30	2.94%	27	2.65%	2.80%
Johnston	2957	89	3.01%	78	2.64%	2.82%
Davidson	3251	94	2.89%	90	2.77%	2.83%
Caswell	755	22	2.91%	21	2.78%	2.85%
Union	2296	66	2.87%	65	2.83%	2.85%
Iredell	2671	84	3.14%	74	2.77%	2.96%
Nash	2382	63	2.64%	80	3.36%	3.00%
Moore	1880	66	3.51%	47	2.50%	3.01%
Robeson	4154	133	3.20%	119	2.86%	3.03%
Edgecombe	1938	62	3.20%	58	2.99%	3.10%
Caldwell	2030	58	2.86%	69	3.40%	3.13%
Lee	1362	39	2.86%	47	3.45%	3.16%
Davie	681	22	3.23%	21	3.08%	3.16%
Alamance	2786	109	3.91%	73	2.62%	3.27%
Cumberland	7123	282	3.96%	196	2.75%	3.36%
Guilford	7881	275	3.49%	258	3.27%	3.38%
Henderson	2206	75	3.40%	75	3.40%	3.40%
Bladen	1342	53	3.95%	39	2.91%	3.43%
Lincoln	1333	40	3.00%	52	3.90%	3.45%
Forsyth	5588	190	3.40%	198	3.54%	3.47%
Wake	7413	300	4.05%	235	3.17%	3.61%
Gaston	4174	197	4.72%	114	2.73%	3.73%
Halifax	2262	92	4.07%	78	3.45%	3.76%

County Performance Review  
Population Penetration

County/District	Total Eligible 50-64	Screened 98/99	% of Eligible	Screened 99/00	% of Eligible	Average Percent
Surry	2150	74	3.44%	89	4.14%	3.79%
RPM District	3306	128	3.87%	125	3.78%	3.83%
Randolph	2812	113	4.02%	106	3.77%	3.89%
Onslow	2692	93	3.45%	120	4.46%	3.96%
Wayne	3396	140	4.12%	130	3.83%	3.98%
Buncombe	5124	194	3.79%	222	4.33%	4.06%
Hoke	911	35	3.84%	39	4.28%	4.06%
Richmond	1517	66	4.35%	63	4.15%	4.25%
Granville/Vance	2547	114	4.48%	104	4.08%	4.28%
Rockingham	2515	109	4.33%	109	4.33%	4.33%
Pender	1384	67	4.84%	56	4.05%	4.44%
Transylvania	915	43	4.70%	40	4.37%	4.54%
Chatham	1114	50	4.49%	53	4.76%	4.62%
Beaufort	1649	73	4.43%	83	5.03%	4.73%
Albemarle Regional	2737	121	4.42%	138	5.04%	4.73%
Brunswick	2604	129	4.95%	120	4.61%	4.78%
Appalachian District	2824	137	4.85%	139	4.92%	4.89%
Craven	2373	113	4.76%	121	5.10%	4.93%
Haywood	1947	92	4.73%	101	5.19%	4.96%
Toe River District	1908	97	5.08%	99	5.19%	5.14%
Jones	373	15	4.02%	24	6.43%	5.23%
Carteret	1794	96	5.35%	93	5.18%	5.27%
Warren	931	52	5.59%	47	5.05%	5.32%
Cabarrus	2312	102	4.41%	151	6.53%	5.47%
Cleveland	2323	142	6.11%	116	4.99%	5.55%
Jackson	1116	68	6.09%	64	5.73%	5.91%
Columbus	2362	138	5.84%	156	6.60%	6.22%
Scotland	1119	59	5.27%	84	7.51%	6.39%
Bertie	923	56	6.07%	73	7.91%	6.99%
Cherokee	1033	65	6.29%	82	7.94%	7.12%
Dare	600	37	6.17%	53	8.83%	7.50%
Person	916	68	7.42%	84	9.17%	8.30%
Northampton	986	84	8.52%	86	8.72%	8.62%
MTW District	1476	160	10.84%	114	7.72%	9.28%
Pamlico	505	53	10.50%	43	8.51%	9.50%
Hertford/Gates	1242	159	12.80%	89	7.17%	9.98%
Macon	1287	143	11.11%	119	9.25%	10.18%
Swain	613	72	11.75%	80	13.05%	12.40%
Clay	363	49	13.50%	43	11.85%	12.67%
Graham	380	59	15.53%	49	12.89%	14.21%
Hyde	267	42	15.73%	39	14.61%	15.17%
Totals	189,948	7,311	3.85%	6,906	3.64%	3.74%

## Performance Evaluation

Local Health Departments in LT/LP  
(Less than 70% of target and < 3.74% Penetration)

County/District	Average % Screened	Average % Penetration
Alexander	19%	1.62%
Orange	29.5%	1.35%
Harnett	30%	1.36%
Durham	32%	2.01%
Rowan	32%	1.47%
Stanley	33%	2.02%
Burke	37.5%	1.16%
Wilkes	39%	1.83%
Lenoir	40.5%	1.09%
Mecklenburg	45%	1.94%
Wilson	45.5%	1.99%
Guilford	45.5%	3.38%
Johnston	48.5%	2.82%
Forsyth	53.5%	3.47%
Catawba	55%	1.97%
Anson	58%	2.25%
Union	60.5%	2.85%
Cumberland	62.5%	3.36%
Davie	63%	3.16%
Lincoln	63.5%	3.45%
Iredell	64.5%	2.96%
Wake	66.5%	3.61%
Caldwell	68%	3.13%
Sampson	68.5%	2.25%
Davidson	68.5%	2.83%

Performance Evaluation

Local Health Departments in LT/HP

County/District	Average % Screened	Average % Penetration
Scotland	52.5%	6.39%
Cabarrus	58.5%	5.47%
Gaston	66%	3.73%
Albermarle Regional	69%	4.73%

Local Health Departments in HT/LP

County/District	Average % Screened	Average % Penetration
Duplin	72.5%	2.51%
Green	73.5%	1.97%
Lee	76%	3.16%
Pitt	77.5%	2.48%
Alamance	78%	3.27%
Henderson	80%	3.40%
Yadkin	81.5%	2.80%
Montgomery	81.5%	2.43%
Franklin	83.5%	1.88%
New Hanover	83.5%	2.72%
Caswell	85%	2.85%
Madison	91%	2.51%
Robeson	93%	3.03%
Nash	93%	3.00%
Stokes	95%	2.34%
Edgecombe	103.5%	3.10%
Bladen	120%	3.43%
Moore	124.5%	3.01%

13

## Performance Evaluation

### Local Health Depts. in HT/HP

<u>County/District</u>	<u>Avg. % Screened</u>	<u>Avg. % Penetration</u>
Cleveland	70%	5.55%
Chatham	70.5	4.62
Randolph	72	3.89
Onslow	74	3.96
Person	75	8.30
MTW	76	9.28
Buncombe	77.5	4.06
Columbus	78.5	6.22
Pender	79	4.44
Surry	79.5	3.79
RPM	84.5	3.83
Toe River	86	5.14
Rockingham	95.5	4.33
Halifax	97.5	3.76
Granville/Vance	98	4.28
Cherokee	98	7.12
Graham	99	14.21
Carteret	104.5	5.27
Richmond	109	4.25
Jackson	109.5	5.91
Jones	110	5.23
Transylvania	111.5	4.54
Wayne	114	3.98
Swain	115.5	12.40
Clay	116	12.67
Haywood	118	4.96
Bertie	120	6.99
Hoke	120.5	4.06
Craven	123.5	4.93
Warren	124.5	5.32
Beaufort	127.5	4.73
Appalachian	150	4.89
Hyde	186.5	15.17
Macon	207.5	10.18
Dare	209	7.50
Brunswick	226	4.78
Northampton	250	8.62
Pamlico	268.5	9.50
Hertford/Gates	386	9.98

**From:** Ray Rabe <rrabe@co.iredell.nc.us> | Block address

**To:** 'Edna Proctor' <proctoredna@yahoo.com>

**CC:** "George F. Bond Jr. (E-mail)" <bondg@co.buncombe.nc.us>, "Tim Green (E-mail)" <tim.green@alamance-nc.com>

**Subject:** RE: My e-mail down. Jim

**Date:** Mon, 27 Nov 2000 17:32:17 -0500

Jim,

Without the tape to listen to I'm not totally sure of what happened. However, to the best of my recollection and with limited notes, the motions were as follows:

1st motion

Motion was made by ? that the Executive Committee immediately release up to \$25,000 from the Medicaid Maximization reserve to match state dollars for Phase I of the approved Business Process Implementation Initiative slated to begin November 30, 2000.

The Executive Committee conditionally approves the release of up to an additional \$250,000 from the Medicaid Maximization reserve for Phase II of the Business Process Implementation Initiative upon success completion of Phase I and consensus agreement of NCALHD participants on the Steering Committee that Phase II is advisable and necessary.

2nd motion

Motion made by George Bond that the Association write a letter to the state requesting

- 1. State provide financial support from the state compliance funds to hire a HIPAA business consultant work in support of the NCALHD in educating and training member counties regarding HIPAA compliance and under the direction of the NCAPHA/NCALHD Presidents and Executive Director. It is requested that this funding be provided on or before December 20, 2000.
- 2. That DHHS appoint Karen Tomczak as a state ex-officio, non-voting member of the Public Health Enterprise Vision Steering Committee.

Jim, this reasonably reflects my recollection of what occurred. However, I am copying George Bond since my recollection is that he is the one who made one or both of the motions.

15